UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,392	06/22/2005	Helmut Kahrs	2002P05893	2091
24131 7590 04/30/2008 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP P O BOX 2480			EXAMINER	
			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/511,392	KAHRS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas J. Williams	3683
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/511,392 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Acknowledgment is made in the remarks filed January 18, 2008.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-10, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,519,805 to Throne-Booth.

Re-claims 1 and 2, Throne-Booth disclose a method for electro-dynamically braking a rail vehicle, comprising: regulating the acceleration (or deceleration) of the rail vehicle as a function of its velocity (see figure 1, wherein as the velocity and/or deceleration vary from the desired pattern, s shown by the broken lines, an increase or decrease in deceleration is carried out, column 5 lines 1-36), the acceleration (or deceleration) is regulated to a set point acceleration (as represented by the solid line) which is proportional to the velocity.

Re-claims 3 and 5, the drive torque is controlled within predefined limits by unit 24.

Re-claim 6, a vehicle value K is taken into consideration when determining deceleration.

Re-claims 8 and 15, a velocity signal is received from sensor 14.

Re-claim 9, computer 10 determines deceleration from travel and velocity signals, see column 2 lines 45-47.

Re-claim 10, Throne-Booth disclose a method for electro-dynamically braking a rail vehicle which is equipped with a drive, the method which comprises: measuring a velocity of the

Application/Control Number: 10/511,392 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

rail vehicle (using device 14); upon receiving a braking command, controlling an acceleration (or deceleration) of the rail vehicle as a function of the velocity by a closed-loop control process, and thereby regulating the acceleration to a set point acceleration (solid line in figure 1) that is proportional to the velocity.

Re-claim 16, Throne-Booth disclose a method for electro-dynamically braking a rail vehicle which is equipped with a drive, the method which comprises: measuring a velocity of the rail vehicle (from device 14); determining an acceleration of the rail vehicle by forming a first derivative of the velocity (see column 2 lines 45-47); controlling the acceleration of the rail vehicle by a closed-loop control process to a set point acceleration that is proportional to the velocity.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 4, 7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Throne-Booth in view of US 4,270,716 to Anderson.

Re-claims 4 and 11, Throne-Booth fails to teach a PI controller used to control the torque. Anderson teaches a PI controller for providing a tractive effort request to the motor, see column 3 lines 24-26, as is common in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the system of Throne-Booth with a PI controller as taught by Anderson, thereby providing a precise control signal for controlling the drive motor.

Re-claims 7 and 14, Throne-Booth fail to specify the vehicle values when determining any needed additional torque. Anderson teaches an apparatus taking into consideration vehicle mass (including passenger weight, see column 4 lines 59-68) when determining a required brake torque. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art when having determined the drive torque in the system of Throne-Booth to have taken vehicle mass into consideration as taught by Anderson, thereby bringing the rail vehicle to a safe and steady stop.

Re-claims 12 and 13, the drive torque is controlled within predefined limits by unit 24; a vehicle value K is taken into consideration when determining deceleration.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see paragraphs 2 and 3 page 4, filed January 18, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5, 8-12, 15 and 16 under 35 U.S.C 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of US 3,519,805 to Throne-Booth who appears to disclose a system that increases and decreases a deceleration request as the actual vehicle deceleration deviates from a set point deceleration.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,392 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. McElhenny, Takata et al. and Han et al. each appear to disclose regulating the

acceleration of the vehicle as a function of the velocity, wherein the acceleration is regulated to a

set point acceleration.

9. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128.

The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesday-Friday from 6:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached at 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

**TJW** 

/Thomas J. Williams/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3683

April 25, 2008